paddy, but it contains several requests with alternative suggestion. A copy of the Memorial is placed on the Council Table.

(*c*) Government did not sanction the requests in the first Memorial. The second Memorial is under consideration.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Has not the work of kole cultivation begun this year?

Mr. A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):* I think it has been started.

PADDY- ACQUISITION BY GOVERNMENT

17. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi):* Will Government be pleased to state the principle followed in giving allowance of paddy to holders of land when paddy is acquired by Government;

Has the Food Supply Department refused the grant of permit to any usufructuary mortgagee in 1119 for the transport of paddy allowed to be kept for his own use by the paddy acquiring officers in Trichur and Mukundapuram Taluks; if so the number of such permits refused and the reasons for doing so in each case?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* Sufficient paddy for Vithu (Seed) Valli, (payment for agricultural labour) customary and obligatory payments in kind and the minimum needs for domestic consumption, is allowed, care being taken to see that the incentive to cultivation is not adversely affected.

No such instance is known.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi):* May I know whether there were requests from usufructuray mortgagees to transport paddy from one taluk to another?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* Yes.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi):* Were all of them given permits?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* In some cases it was found that the usufructuary mortgages were sham. In those cases permits were refused.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi):* Which are the instances of that kind?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* I want notice.

18. Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether representations have not been made to them about the great hardships and unnecessary consequence caused to landholders by the orders requiring the submission of returns to be made by them of the harvest crops on lands leased to tenants;

(*b*) will they please state whether they have thought fit to react to the representation?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Government think that the existing form is necesssary.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* May I know whether there is a provision that both the owner of the land and the actual cultivator should send within 7 days of the harvest due information to the Government in writing.

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* No.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* I would like to have the section of rule published on 3rd Thulam

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* This is the form.....

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* I want to know whether in the body of the rules as framed by the Government there is not a provision that both the landholder as well as the tenant should send such returns within 7 days of the harvest.

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* The question was whether the landholders were not required to give the quantity of paddy. I only want to point out that there is no such rule.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Both the landholders as well as the actual cultivators, *i.e.*, the tenants should submit such a return within 7 days of the harvest.

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* There are two kinds of forms for the submission of the returns. Form A is the form for the land-owners and B is the Form for those owners who lease out their lands. The return must be submitted. But the actual yield need not be shown. Persons who are actual cultivators have to show the pattam due. In the case of landholders they need not show the yield in the return. So, the difficulty apprehended by the Hon'ble Member may not arise.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly